

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/475,881 | | 12/30/1999 | | JAMES R. ALTENDAHL | E-915 | 7004 | |
| | 919 | 919 7590 05/07/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | PITNEY BO | WES INC. | | | BACKER, FIRMIN | | |
| | 35 WATERVI | EW DRIVE | | | | | |
| | P.O. BOX 300 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | MSC 26-22 | | | | 3621 | | |
| | CHELTON C | T 06/0/ 0 | 000 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | ۸h |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------|
| , | | Applicati | nN. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 09/475,8 | 81 | ALTENDAHL ET AL. | |
| | Offic Action Summary | Examine | r | Art Unit | |
| | | Firmin B | | 3621 | |
| Peri d f | - The MAILING DATE f this communicate r Reply | ation appears on th | e c ver sheet with | the correspondence addres | 5S |
| THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIDE OF THE OF | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no explication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the apply. | vent, however, may a repl tutory minimum of thirty (vill expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAN | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this common to the mailing date of this common to the com | unication. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on <u>08 January 20</u> | <u>04</u> . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b | o)⊠ This action is | non-final. | | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic ion of Claims | | | | erits is |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from co | onsideration. | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | on and/or election i | equirement. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the I | | _ | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a |) accepted or b) | objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | | | | |
| 11)[| The proposed drawing correction filed of | | | approved by the Examiner. | |
| 40) | If approved, corrected drawings are requ | , , | ffice action. | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to b | by the Examiner. | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | or foreign priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority do | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority do | ocuments have bee | n received in App | olication No | |
| * 5 | Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal See the attached detailed Office action | tional Bureau (PCT | Rule 17.2(a)). | | ge · |
| 14) 🗌 A | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | domestic priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional ap | plication). |
| |) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | • • | · • | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | • | _ | | |
| 2) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap | • | | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-15 | |

Response to Amendment

Page 1

1. This is in response to an amendment file on January 8th, 2004. In the amendment, claims 1 and 5 have been amended, no claim has been canceled, and no claim has been added. Claims 1-8 remain pending in the letter.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barns-Slavin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,950) in view of Barni et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,064,981).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 5, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables for use in a system for managing shipping parcels (carrier management system), the set of load planning tables comprising a group table (memory 22), for storing group identifiers (parcel identification number), each group identifier used to identify a group of one or more parcels (see fig 2, column

Application/Control Number: 09/475,881

Art Unit: 3621

3 lines 37-64), a load table for storing records of attributes associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field and a load identifier field (see column 2 lines 20-48), a stop table, for storing records of attributes associated with a stop (destination address), which is in turn associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a stop identifier field, and a drop bill number field (see fig 2, column 3 lines 37-64), a shipment header table, for storing records of attributes associated with a shipment, which is in turn optionally associated with a stop, which is in turn associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a bill number field that serves as a shipment identifier and optionally relates the record to a record in the stop table via the drop bill number field in records of the stop table, an origin identifier field, and a destination identifier field (see column 2 lines 20-48). Barns-Slavin fails to teach a cost table, for storing records of attributes associated with a component cost of a load, which is in turn associated with a load, which is, in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a field indicating a cost type, and a field indicating a cost amount, wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters. However, Barni et al teach an inventive concept cost table, for storing records of attributes associated with a component cost of a load, which is in turn associated with a load, which is, in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a field indicating a cost type, and a field indicating a cost amount, wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third

Application/Control Number: 09/475,881

Art Unit: 3621

parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters (see figs 4, 5, 10, 11, column 1 lines 42-67, 7 lines 11-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Barns-Slavin et al's inventive concept to include Barni et al's an inventive cost table, for storing records of attributes associated with a component cost of a load, which is in turn associated with a load, which is, in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a field indicating a cost type, and a field indicating a cost amount, wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters because this would have allowed customers, freight forwarders and carriers, may negotiate cargo rates, evaluate competitive prices, preferably and monitor the shipping of their package.

6. As per claims 2, 3, 6 and 7, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables wherein the records of the load table also include fields for indicating a master load identifier, a carrier identifier, a tariff identifier, an origin and a destination, a field for indicating a pro number, a field for an inbound/outbound indicator, a field indicating an origin, and a field indicating a destination (see column 2 lines 20-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,881 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

7. As per claims 4 and 8, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables wherein the records of the stop table also include fields for indicating a drop load identifier, a drop distribution center identifier, and a parent load (see column 2 lines 20-48).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer

Examiner

Art Unit 3621